

Feedback on the study tour to Denmark

16 responses from participants

KÜSIMUSED

VASTUSED

16

16 vastust



KOKKUVÕTE


INDIVIDUAALNE

Aktsepteerib vastuseid



The overall organization of the study tour (agenda, time, length and managing the tour)

16 vastust



Add comments

3 vastust


Some days were too long but the program was nice and useful.

Program and all tour was diverse.

Everything was organized very well.

Accommodations

16 vastust



Add comments

6 vastust

The room in Copenhagen was too small, there was not enough space for three adults.

First accommodation was good, second one was too narrow.

Those places were not great but I understand that budget was tight and that's why. I believe you chose the best you could

Special thanks for Emmaus hostel!


Second accommodation place was "surprising".

First accommodation was great, second was just unusual.

Catering



16 vastust



Add comments

3 vastust


Every meal we had, was delicious

Good timing and good food.

Emmaus catering was excellent. Cabinn catering less so.

Transportation

16 vastust



Add comments

2 vastust


Allways just in time. Friendly and competent bussdrivers!

Bus drivers were very helpful and kind.

The quality of presenters and guides (knowledge, enthusiasm, communication)



16 vastust



Add comments

3 vastust


Guides were enthusiastic and professionals. Especially liked: Jes Aagard in Naturcenter Amager, Filip Dalthur Rasmussen the Honorary Consul of the Republic of Estonia to Denmark, guides in Cliffs of Møn and Liselund Park, Ulrika K. Stigsdotter in University of Copenhagen, meeting with National accessibility scheme God Adgang representatives

They really enjoyed showing us everything, except the man in the rain.

Got the impression that for example in Amager the guide gave a general introduction, not targeted specially according to our interest. Either he was not aware about our agenda or just did not know what to say.

How successfully was the program of the study tour matched and supported the aims of the Project

16 vastust



Add comments

3 vastust

The variety of program assured, we got different experiences and learned from different objects.

After this trip I look different about people with special needs.

The visits in the last day were the best and gave a lot. Got the feeling that we did not see the best examples though.

What did you learn and like most during the study tour?

16 vastust

The practical visits were the most useful, as we were given the opportunity to compare the accessibility solutions at nature trails with the ones in our countries. We learned that we all have similar challenges and that accessibility should be considered from various aspects - nature landscape, value, human health. In any case, the information on accessibility level should be provided in quite a detail, so that the disabled persons are aware of the trail's accessibility level and possible obstacles.

I liked the webpage: <http://www.godadgang.dk/gb/places/search.asp> and of course the house and the solutions in Danske Handicaporganisationer were really good (to see how everything is working and later to imitate). I liked that Jurgis and Ansku were with us - I got very practical ideas and hints from them.

There are different understandings of the term - disabled or special needs. You can make trails with different levels of accessibility.

started to notice and understand accessibility

Disabled people want to live like others and they don't need all things to be done for them. Let them do themselves but we have to give them an opportunity.

The Camp Adventure Forest Tower

I learned how to take account of disabled people's needs during the trails planning process. The best example was the newest house for disabled people organizations.

I really liked to see that things in our country are not that bad. I was happy to see different sides of the accessibility topic: e.g. Skjoldungestien and Lejre museum vs National accessibility scheme God Adgang at Taastrup. Two complete opposites. I really enjoyed that our group was put together of people from different backgrounds. The highlight for me was the discussion at last morning when it really came out what and how different people see that accessibility topic - really an eye opener!

compared to Denmark, our accessibility is not as bad as we expected

I liked that most of the places we visited were in the open air. I learned that people with special needs are not only people in wheelchairs.

Centre for disabled people as the best example of fulfilled needs. Spiral wooden tower and its hiking trail as a good example of accessibility.

Good practice and other views

Another point of view on environmental accessibility
Good practice.

Dinosaurs are extremely helpful in marketing. An open quarry with fossils can be used successfully in education programmes.

Nature tourism as an important part of daily leisure

Other faces the same challenges as we. Label for accessible site. We can never satisfy all - if we make the trails totally accessible then we cut the edge from the real experience from for example those with hearing disabilities.

Your suggestions to organizers

5 vastust

Thank you for organizing this tour and the program!

Thank You for this super study tour!

Thank you!

-

It would be worth the money visit the target during the planning process before taking the whole group to a different country.